

# Optimal Preconditioning for the Interval Parametric Gauss–Seidel Method

Milan Hladík

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,  
Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic  
<http://kam.mff.cuni.cz/~hladik/>

SCAN, Würzburg, Germany  
September 21–26, 2014

## Interval Linear Equations

$$Ax = b, \quad A \in \mathbf{A}, \quad b \in \mathbf{b},$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} := [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] = [A^c - A^\Delta, A^c + A^\Delta],$$

$$\mathbf{b} := [\underline{b}, \overline{b}] = [b^c - b^\Delta, b^c + b^\Delta]$$

are an interval matrix and an interval vector, respectively.

# Interval Linear Equations

## Interval Linear Equations

$$Ax = b, \quad A \in \mathbf{A}, \quad b \in \mathbf{b},$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} := [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] = [A^c - A^\Delta, A^c + A^\Delta],$$

$$\mathbf{b} := [\underline{b}, \overline{b}] = [b^c - b^\Delta, b^c + b^\Delta]$$

are an interval matrix and an interval vector, respectively.

## The Solution Set

$$\Sigma := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists A \in \mathbf{A}, \exists b \in \mathbf{b} : Ax = b\}.$$

# Interval Linear Equations

## Interval Linear Equations

$$Ax = b, \quad A \in \mathbf{A}, \quad b \in \mathbf{b},$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} := [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] = [A^c - A^\Delta, A^c + A^\Delta],$$

$$\mathbf{b} := [\underline{b}, \overline{b}] = [b^c - b^\Delta, b^c + b^\Delta]$$

are an interval matrix and an interval vector, respectively.

## The Solution Set

$$\Sigma := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists A \in \mathbf{A}, \exists b \in \mathbf{b} : Ax = b\}.$$

## Problem formulation

Find a tight interval vector enclosing  $\Sigma$ .

# Preconditioning

## Preconditioning

Let  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Preconditioning is a relaxation to

$$A'x = b', \quad A' \in (CA), \quad b' \in (Cb),$$

# Preconditioning

## Preconditioning

Let  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Preconditioning is a relaxation to

$$A'x = b', \quad A' \in (CA), \quad b' \in (Cb),$$

## Preconditioning

- usually we use  $C = (A^c)^{-1}$ , theoretically justified by Neumaier (1984, 1990),
- optimal preconditioning for the interval Gauss–Seidel method by Kearfott et al. (1990, 1991, 2008).

# Preconditioning

## Preconditioning

Let  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Preconditioning is a relaxation to

$$A'x = b', \quad A' \in (CA), \quad b' \in (Cb),$$

## Preconditioning

- usually we use  $C = (A^c)^{-1}$ , theoretically justified by Neumaier (1984, 1990),
- optimal preconditioning for the interval Gauss–Seidel method by Kearfott et al. (1990, 1991, 2008).

## The Interval Gauss–Seidel Method

$$z_i := \frac{1}{(CA)_{ii}} \left( (Cb)_i - \sum_{j \neq i} (CA)_{ij} x_j \right), \quad x_i := x_i \cap z_i,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

# Interval Parametric Systems

## Interval Parametric System

$$A(p)x = b(p), \quad p \in \mathbf{p},$$

where

$$A(p) = \sum_{k=1}^K A^k p_k, \quad b(p) = \sum_{k=1}^K b^k p_k,$$

and  $p_k \in \mathbf{p}_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$ .



# Interval Parametric Systems

## Interval Parametric System

$$A(p)x = b(p), \quad p \in \mathbf{p},$$

where

$$A(p) = \sum_{k=1}^K A^k p_k, \quad b(p) = \sum_{k=1}^K b^k p_k,$$

and  $p_k \in \mathbf{p}_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$ .

## The Solution Set

$$\Sigma_p := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists p \in \mathbf{p} : A(p)x = b(p)\}.$$

# Interval Parametric Systems

## Interval Parametric System

$$A(p)x = b(p), \quad p \in \mathbf{p},$$

where

$$A(p) = \sum_{k=1}^K A^k p_k, \quad b(p) = \sum_{k=1}^K b^k p_k,$$

and  $p_k \in \mathbf{p}_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$ .

## The Solution Set

$$\Sigma_p := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists p \in \mathbf{p} : A(p)x = b(p)\}.$$

## Preconditioning and Relaxation

Relaxation to  $Ax = b$ , where

$$A \in \mathbf{A} := \sum_{k=1}^K (CA^k) \mathbf{p}_k, \quad b \in \mathbf{b} := \sum_{k=1}^K (Cb^k) \mathbf{p}_k.$$

# The Parametric Interval Gauss–Seidel Method

## The Parametric Interval Gauss–Seidel Method

$$\mathbf{z}_i := \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^K (CA^k)_{ii} \mathbf{p}_k\right)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (Cb^k)_i \mathbf{p}_k - \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (CA^k)_{ij} \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j \right),$$

$$\mathbf{x}_i := \mathbf{x}_i \cap \mathbf{z}_i,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

# The Parametric Interval Gauss–Seidel Method

## The Parametric Interval Gauss–Seidel Method

$$\mathbf{z}_i := \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^K (CA^k)_{ii} \mathbf{p}_k\right)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (Cb^k)_i \mathbf{p}_k - \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (CA^k)_{ij} \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j \right),$$

$$\mathbf{x}_i := \mathbf{x}_i \cap \mathbf{z}_i,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

## Optimal Preconditioner

Various criteria of optimality:

- minimize the resulting width, that is, the objective is  $\min 2z_i^\Delta$ ,
- minimize the resulting upper bound, that is, the objective is  $\min \bar{z}_i$ ,
- maximize the resulting lower bound, that is, the objective is  $\max \underline{z}_i$ .

# The Parametric Interval Gauss–Seidel Method

## The Parametric Interval Gauss–Seidel Method

$$\mathbf{z}_i := \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^K (CA^k)_{ii} \mathbf{p}_k\right)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (Cb^k)_i \mathbf{p}_k - \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (CA^k)_{ij} \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j \right),$$

$$\mathbf{x}_i := \mathbf{x}_i \cap \mathbf{z}_i,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

## Optimal Preconditioner

Various criteria of optimality:

- **minimize the resulting width**, that is, the objective is  $\min 2z_i^\Delta$ ,
- minimize the resulting upper bound, that is, the objective is  $\min \bar{z}_i$ ,
- maximize the resulting lower bound, that is, the objective is  $\max \underline{z}_i$ .

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

## Preliminaries

- For simplicity assume that  $0 \in \mathbf{x}$  and  $0 \in \mathbf{z}$
- Denote by  $c$  the  $i$ th row of  $C$ ,
- Normalize  $c$  such that the denominator has the form of  $[1, r]$  for some  $r \geq 1$ .

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

## Preliminaries

- For simplicity assume that  $0 \in \mathbf{x}$  and  $0 \in \mathbf{z}$
- Denote by  $c$  the  $i$ th row of  $C$ ,
- Normalize  $c$  such that the denominator has the form of  $[1, r]$  for some  $r \geq 1$ .

## Interval Gauss–Seidel Step

Then the operation of the  $i$ th step of the Interval Gauss–Seidel iteration is simplified to

$$\mathbf{z}_i := \sum_{k=1}^K (cb^k) \mathbf{p}_k - \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j$$

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

## Preliminaries

- For simplicity assume that  $0 \in \mathbf{x}$  and  $0 \in \mathbf{z}$
- Denote by  $c$  the  $i$ th row of  $C$ ,
- Normalize  $c$  such that the denominator has the form of  $[1, r]$  for some  $r \geq 1$ .

## Interval Gauss–Seidel Step

Then the operation of the  $i$ th step of the Interval Gauss–Seidel iteration is simplified to

$$\mathbf{z}_i := \sum_{k=1}^K (cb^k) \mathbf{p}_k - \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j$$

The objective is  $\min z_i^\Delta$ .



# Minimal Width Preconditioner

minimize the width of  $\sum_{k=1}^K (cb^k) \mathbf{p}_k - \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j$ .

Denote

$$\beta_k := |cb^k|, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\alpha_{jk} := |cA_{*j}^k|, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\eta_j := \overline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\psi_j := \underline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j}, \quad j \neq i.$$

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

$$\min \sum_{k=1}^K 2p_k^\Delta \beta_k + \sum_{j \neq i} (\eta_j - \psi_j),$$

subject to

$$\beta_k := |cb^k|, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\alpha_{jk} := |cA_{*j}^k|, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\eta_j := \overline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\psi_j := \underline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j}, \quad j \neq i.$$

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

$$\min \sum_{k=1}^K 2p_k^\Delta \beta_k + \sum_{j \neq i} (\eta_j - \psi_j),$$

subject to

$$\beta_k \geq cb^k, \beta_k \geq -cb^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\alpha_{jk} := |cA_{*j}^k|, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\eta_j := \overline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\psi_j := \underline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j}, \quad j \neq i.$$

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

$$\min \sum_{k=1}^K 2p_k^\Delta \beta_k + \sum_{j \neq i} (\eta_j - \psi_j),$$

subject to

$$\beta_k \geq cb^k, \beta_k \geq -cb^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\alpha_{jk} \geq cA_{*j}^k, \alpha_{jk} \geq -cA_{*j}^k, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\eta_j := \overline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\psi_j := \underline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j}, \quad j \neq i.$$

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

$$\min \sum_{k=1}^K 2p_k^\Delta \beta_k + \sum_{j \neq i} (\eta_j - \psi_j),$$

subject to

$$\beta_k \geq cb^k, \beta_k \geq -cb^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\alpha_{jk} \geq cA_{*j}^k, \alpha_{jk} \geq -cA_{*j}^k, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\eta_j \geq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \underline{x}_j \pm \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \underline{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\eta_j \geq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \bar{x}_j + \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \bar{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\psi_j := \underline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^K (cA_{*j}^k) \mathbf{p}_k \right) \mathbf{x}_j}, \quad j \neq i.$$

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

$$\min \sum_{k=1}^K 2p_k^\Delta \beta_k + \sum_{j \neq i} (\eta_j - \psi_j),$$

subject to

$$\beta_k \geq cb^k, \beta_k \geq -cb^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\alpha_{jk} \geq cA_{*j}^k, \alpha_{jk} \geq -cA_{*j}^k, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\eta_j \geq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \underline{x}_j \pm \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \underline{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\eta_j \geq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \bar{x}_j + \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \bar{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\psi_j \leq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \underline{x}_j \pm \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \underline{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\psi_j \leq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \bar{x}_j - \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \bar{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

$$\min \sum_{k=1}^K 2p_k^\Delta \beta_k + \sum_{j \neq i} (\eta_j - \psi_j),$$

subject to

$$\beta_k \geq cb^k, \beta_k \geq -cb^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\alpha_{jk} \geq cA_{*j}^k, \alpha_{jk} \geq -cA_{*j}^k, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

$$\eta_j \geq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \underline{x}_j \pm \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \underline{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\eta_j \geq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \bar{x}_j + \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \bar{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\psi_j \leq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \underline{x}_j \pm \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \underline{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

$$\psi_j \leq c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*j}^k p_k^c \bar{x}_j - \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \bar{x}_j \alpha_{jk}, \quad j \neq i,$$

and the condition that the denominator is has the form of  $[1, r]$

$$c \sum_{k=1}^K A_{*i}^k p_k^c - \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^\Delta \alpha_{ik} = 1.$$

# Minimal Width Preconditioner

## Optimization problem.

- Optimal preconditioner  $C$  found by  $n$  linear programming (LP) problems.
- each LP has  $Kn + K + 3n - 2$  unknowns  $c$ ,  $\beta_k$ ,  $\alpha_{jk}$ ,  $\eta_j$ , and  $\psi_j$ , and  $2Kn + 4n - 3$  constraints
- $C$  needn't be calculated in a verified way.
- The problem is effectively solved in polynomial time.



# Minimal Width Preconditioner

## Optimization problem.

- Optimal preconditioner  $C$  found by  $n$  linear programming (LP) problems.
- each LP has  $Kn + K + 3n - 2$  unknowns  $c$ ,  $\beta_k$ ,  $\alpha_{jk}$ ,  $\eta_j$ , and  $\psi_j$ , and  $2Kn + 4n - 3$  constraints
- $C$  needn't be calculated in a verified way.
- The problem is effectively solved in polynomial time.

## Practical Implementation

- Call the standard version using midpoint inverse preconditioner (or any other method),
- and after that tighten the enclosure by using an optimal preconditioner  $C$ .
- In our examples: one iteration with minimization of the upper bound, and one with maximization of the upper bound.

## Example (Popova, 2002)

$$A(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_1 \\ p_1 & p_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b(p) = \begin{pmatrix} p_3 \\ p_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \in \mathbf{p} = ([0, 1], -[1, 4], [0, 2])^T.$$

## Example (Popova, 2002)

$$A(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_1 \\ p_1 & p_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b(p) = \begin{pmatrix} p_3 \\ p_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \in \mathbf{p} = ([0, 1], -[1, 4], [0, 2])^T.$$

Initial enclosure by the Parametric Interval Gauss–Seidel Method with midpoint inverse preconditioner:

- direct version: 7.66% of the width on average reduced
- residual form: 0% of the width on average reduced

## Example (Popova, 2002)

$$A(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_1 \\ p_1 & p_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b(p) = \begin{pmatrix} p_3 \\ p_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \in \mathbf{p} = ([0, 1], -[1, 4], [0, 2])^T.$$

Initial enclosure by the Parametric Interval Gauss–Seidel Method with midpoint inverse preconditioner:

- direct version: 7.66% of the width on average reduced
- residual form: 0% of the width on average reduced

Initial enclosure as the interval hull of the relaxed system:

- direct version: 50% of the width on average reduced
- residual form: 12.56% of the width on average reduced

## Example II

### Example (Popova and Krämer, 2008)

$$A(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 30 & -10 & -10 & -10 & 0 \\ -10 & 10 + p_1 + p_2 & -p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -10 & -p_1 & 15 + p_1 + p_3 & -5 & 0 \\ -10 & 0 & -5 & 15 + p_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $p \in \mathbf{p} = [8, 12] \times [4, 8] \times [8, 12] \times [8, 12]$ .

## Example (Popova and Krämer, 2008)

$$A(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 30 & -10 & -10 & -10 & 0 \\ -10 & 10 + p_1 + p_2 & -p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -10 & -p_1 & 15 + p_1 + p_3 & -5 & 0 \\ -10 & 0 & -5 & 15 + p_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $p \in \mathbf{p} = [8, 12] \times [4, 8] \times [8, 12] \times [8, 12]$ .

Initial enclosure by the Parametric Interval Gauss–Seidel Method with midpoint inverse preconditioner:

- direct version: 15% of the width on average reduced
- residual form: 0% of the width on average reduced

## Summary

- Optimal preconditioning matrix for the parametric interval Gauss–Seidel iterations.
- It can be computed effectively by linear programming.
- Preliminary results show that sometimes can reduce overestimation of the standard enclosures.

## Summary

- Optimal preconditioning matrix for the parametric interval Gauss–Seidel iterations.
- It can be computed effectively by linear programming.
- Preliminary results show that sometimes can reduce overestimation of the standard enclosures.

## Directions for Further Research

- Other types of optimality of preconditioners (S-preconditioners, pivoting preconditioners, etc.)
- Optimal preconditioners for other methods than the parametric interval Gauss–Seidel one.



# References



M. Hladík.

Enclosures for the solution set of parametric interval linear systems.  
*Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 22(3):561–574, 2012.



R. B. Kearfott.

Preconditioners for the interval Gauss–Seidel method.  
*SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 27(3):804–822, 1990.



R. B. Kearfott, C. Hu, and M. Novoa III.

A review of preconditioners for the interval Gauss–Seidel method.  
*Interval Comput.*, 1991(1):59–85, 1991.



A. Neumaier.

New techniques for the analysis of linear interval equations.  
*Linear Algebra Appl.*, 58:273–325, 1984.



E. Popova.

Quality of the solution sets of parameter-dependent interval linear systems.  
*ZAMM, Z. Angew. Math. Mech.*, 82(10):723–727, 2002.



E. D. Popova and W. Krämer.

Visualizing parametric solution sets.  
*BIT*, 48(1):95–115, 2008.

# SWIM 2015

8th Small Workshop on Interval Methods



**Come swim to Prague in June**

[kam.mff.cuni.cz/conferences/swim2015](http://kam.mff.cuni.cz/conferences/swim2015)